2021 IEEE East Asian School of Information Theory

Fair machine learning

Lecture 5

Changho Suh EE, KAIST

Aug. 4, 2021

A fair generative model using total variation distance

Reading: TN5

Recap: Hinge-loss-based GAN

Discriminator (hinge loss):

$$\max_{D} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{real}} \left[\min(0, -1 + D(X))\right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}} \left[\min(0, -1 - D(\tilde{X}))\right]$$
Generator (linear loss):

$$\min_{G} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{real}} \left[D(X)\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}} \left[D(\tilde{X})\right]$$

Made a connection w/ total variation distance:

 $\min_{G} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}}} \left[D^*(X) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_G} \left[D^*(\tilde{X}) \right] = \min_{G} 2 \cdot \mathsf{TV}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}}, \mathbb{P}_G)$

Claimed: This connection gives insights into a fair generative model.

Explore a **TVD**-based fair generative model.

- Introduce a TVD-based regularization term that promotes fair sample generation (classbalanced samples)
- 2. Formulate a corresponding optimization.
- 3. Translate it into an **implementable** optimization that employs hinge loss.
- 4. Discuss experimental results.

A regularization term for fair sample gen.



$$\min_{G} \mathsf{TV}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}}, \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{G}})$$

What we want for fair sample generation:

$\mathbb{P}_G \approx \mathbb{P}_{ref} \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{a reference distribution} \\ \text{respecting fair sample gen.} \end{array}$

An issue arises in satisfying this:

The given real data does not necessarily satisfy \mathbb{P}_{ref} .

Note: Interested scenarios: \mathbb{P}_{real} biased

A regularization term for fair sample gen.



$$\min_{G} \mathsf{TV}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}}, \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{G}})$$

What we want for fair sample generation:

$\mathbb{P}_G \approx \mathbb{P}_{ref}$ a reference distribution respecting fair sample gen.

A natural way to satisfy this:

Introduce a *new* yet *small* reference dataset respecting \mathbb{P}_{ref} .

5-10% relative to original real data

A regularization term for fair sample gen.



$$\min_{G} \mathsf{TV}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}}, \mathbb{P}_{G})$$

What we want for fair sample generation:

$\mathbb{P}_G \approx \mathbb{P}_{ref} \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{a reference distribution} \\ \text{respecting fair sample gen.} \end{array}$

A nautral regularization term:

 $\mathsf{TV}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}},\mathbb{P}_G)$

TVD-based optimization for a fair gen. model

$$\begin{array}{l} [\mathsf{Um-Suh~'21]:}\\ \min_{G}(1-\lambda)\cdot\mathsf{TV}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}},\mathbb{P}_{G})+\lambda\cdot\mathsf{TV}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}},\mathbb{P}_{G}) \end{array}$$

Question:

How to solve the optimization?

$$\begin{split} & [\mathsf{Um-Suh}\ '21]:\\ & \min_G(1-\lambda)\cdot\mathsf{TV}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}},\mathbb{P}_G)+\lambda\cdot\mathsf{TV}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}},\mathbb{P}_G) \end{split}$$

Remember: $\mathsf{TV}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}}, \mathbb{P}_{G})$ was a consequence of evaluating Generator's objective at D^* , which was derived from:

$$\max_{D} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}}} \left[\min(0, -1 + D(X)) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}} \left[\min(0, -1 - D(\tilde{X})) \right]$$

$$\begin{split} & [\mathsf{Um-Suh}\ '21]:\\ & \min_G(1-\lambda)\cdot\mathsf{TV}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}},\mathbb{P}_G)+\lambda\cdot\mathsf{TV}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}},\mathbb{P}_G) \end{split}$$

Guess: $\mathsf{TV}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}}, \mathbb{P}_{G})$ is a consequence of evaluating Generator's objective at another D^*_{ref} , which is derived from:

$$\max_{D_{\mathsf{ref}}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}}} \left[\min(0, -1 + D_{\mathsf{ref}}(X_{\mathsf{ref}})) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_G} \left[\min(0, -1 - D_{\mathsf{ref}}(\tilde{X})) \right]$$

Equivalence

$$\min_{G}(1-\lambda) \cdot \mathsf{TV}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}}, \mathbb{P}_{G}) + \lambda \cdot \mathsf{TV}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}}, \mathbb{P}_{G})$$

Turns out: Equivalent to

$$\begin{split} &\max_{D} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}}} \left[\min(0, -1 + D(X)) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}} \left[\min(0, -1 - D(\tilde{X})) \right] \\ &\max_{D_{\mathsf{ref}}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}}} \left[\min(0, -1 + D_{\mathsf{ref}}(X_{\mathsf{ref}})) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}} \left[\min(0, -1 - D_{\mathsf{ref}}(\tilde{X})) \right] \\ &\min_{G} (1 - \lambda) \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}}} \left[D(X) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}} \left[D(\tilde{X}) \right] \right\} + \lambda \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}}} \left[D_{\mathsf{ref}}(X_{\mathsf{ref}}) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}} \left[D_{\mathsf{ref}}(\tilde{X}) \right] \right\} \end{split}$$

To prove this, need to show:

$$\min_{G} (1-\lambda) \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}}} \left[D^{*}(X) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}} \left[D^{*}(\tilde{X}) \right] \right\} + \lambda \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}}} \left[D^{*}_{\mathsf{ref}}(X_{\mathsf{ref}}) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}} \left[D^{*}_{\mathsf{ref}}(\tilde{X}) \right] \right\}$$

$$= \min_{G} 2(1-\lambda) \mathsf{TV}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}}, \mathbb{P}_{G}) + 2\lambda \mathsf{TV}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}}, \mathbb{P}_{G})$$
10

Proof of equivalence

$$\begin{split} &\max_{D} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}}} \left[\min(0, -1 + D(X)) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}} \left[\min(0, -1 - D(\tilde{X})) \right] \\ &\max_{D_{\mathsf{ref}}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}}} \left[\min(0, -1 + D_{\mathsf{ref}}(X_{\mathsf{ref}})) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}} \left[\min(0, -1 - D_{\mathsf{ref}}(\tilde{X})) \right] \\ &\min_{G} (1 - \lambda) \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}}} \left[D(X) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}} \left[D(\tilde{X}) \right] \right\} + \lambda \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}}} \left[D_{\mathsf{ref}}(X_{\mathsf{ref}}) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}} \left[D_{\mathsf{ref}}(\tilde{X}) \right] \right\} \end{split}$$

Using the technique based on the lemma introduced earlier, one can show:

$$D^{*}(x) = \operatorname{sign}(\mathbb{P}_{\operatorname{real}}(x) - \mathbb{P}_{G}(x)) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$$
$$D^{*}_{\operatorname{ref}}(x) = \operatorname{sign}(\mathbb{P}_{\operatorname{ref}}(x) - \mathbb{P}_{G}(x)) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}_{\operatorname{ref}} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$$

Proof of equivalence

$$D^{*}(x) = \operatorname{sign}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}}(x) - \mathbb{P}_{G}(x)) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$$
$$D^{*}_{\mathsf{ref}}(x) = \operatorname{sign}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}}(x) - \mathbb{P}_{G}(x)) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathsf{ref}} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$$
$$\underset{G}{\min(1 - \lambda)} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}}}[D(X)] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}}\left[D(\tilde{X})\right] \right\} + \lambda \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}}}[D_{\mathsf{ref}}(X_{\mathsf{ref}})] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}}\left[D_{\mathsf{ref}}(\tilde{X})\right] \right\}$$
$$(1 - \lambda) \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}}}[D^{*}(X)] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}}\left[D^{*}(\tilde{X})\right] \right\} + \lambda \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}}}[D_{\mathsf{ref}}(X_{\mathsf{ref}})] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{G}}\left[D_{\mathsf{ref}}^{*}(\tilde{X})\right] \right\}$$
$$= (1 - \lambda) \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{X}}} (\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}}(x) - \mathbb{P}_{G}(x))D^{*}(x) + \lambda \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathsf{ref}} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{X}}} (\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}}(x) - \mathbb{P}_{G}(x))D_{\mathsf{ref}}^{*}(x)$$
$$= (1 - \lambda) \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{X}}} |\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}}(x) - \mathbb{P}_{G}(x)| + \lambda \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathsf{ref}} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{X}}} |\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}}(x) - \mathbb{P}_{G}(x)|$$

 $= 2(1-\lambda)\mathsf{TV}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{real}},\mathbb{P}_G) + 2\lambda\mathsf{TV}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}},\mathbb{P}_G)$

Architecture



Three-way battle



Three-way battle



Three-way battle



Experiments

A benchmark real dataset: CelebA



Female:male ~= 90:10

 $m_{\rm real} = 67,507$ $m_{\rm ref} \approx 0.1 m_{\rm real}$

 $\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}}(z) \sim \mathsf{Uniform}$

- 1. A measure for the quality of generated samples **Fréchet Inception Distance (FID):** $W^2(\mathcal{N}(\mu_{real}, \Sigma_{real}), \mathcal{N}(\mu_G, \Sigma_G))$ \searrow 2nd order Wasserstein distance
- 2. A measure for fair sample generation Fairness Discrepancy (FD):

$$\sqrt{\sum_{z\in\mathcal{Z}} (\mathbb{P}_G(z) - \mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{ref}}(z))^2}$$

	FID (quality)	FD (fairness)
Non-fair model (Hinge-loss-based GAN)	8.76 ± 0.196	0.539 ± 0.002
TVD-based <i>fair</i> gen. model	14.13 ± 0.343	0.0431 ± 0.0097

Generated samples

TVD-based fair generative model:



Female:male = 54:46



Discuss a couple of other relevant issues.

Reference

[1] S. Um and C. Suh. A fair generative model using total variation distance. submitted to *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 34 (NeurIPS)*, 2021

[2] Z. Liu, P. Luo, X. Wang, and X. Tang. Deep learning face attributes in the wild. *In Proceedings of International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, December 2015.

[3] M. Heusel, H. Ramsauer, T. Unterthiner, B. Nessler, and S. Hochreiter. GANs trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium. *In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30 (NeurIPS)*, 2017.

[4] K. Choi, A. Grover, T. Singh, R. Shu, and S. Ermon. Fair generative modeling via weak supervision. *In Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2020.